Check for updates

OPEN Brain lesions disrupting addiction map to a common human brain circuit

Juho Joutsa ^{1,2,3,17} ^{\infty}, Khaled Moussawi ^{4,5,17}, Shan H. Siddiqi^{3,6,17}, Amir Abdolahi⁷, William Drew ^{3,6}, Alexander L. Cohen ^{3,6,8,9}, Thomas J. Ross ⁴, Harshawardhan U. Deshpande ⁴, Henry Z. Wang¹⁰, Joel Bruss¹¹, Elliot A. Stein ⁴, Nora D. Volkow ¹⁶, Jordan H. Grafman^{12,13,14}, Edwin van Wijngaarden¹⁵, Aaron D. Boes ¹¹ and Michael D. Fox ^{3,6} ³

Drug addiction is a public health crisis for which new treatments are urgently needed. In rare cases, regional brain damage can lead to addiction remission. These cases may be used to identify therapeutic targets for neuromodulation. We analyzed two cohorts of patients addicted to smoking at the time of focal brain damage (cohort 1 n = 67; cohort 2 n = 62). Lesion locations were mapped to a brain atlas and the brain network functionally connected to each lesion location was computed using human connectome data (n = 1,000). Associations with addiction remission were identified. Generalizability was assessed using an independent cohort of patients with focal brain damage and alcohol addiction risk scores (n = 186). Specificity was assessed through comparison to 37 other neuropsychological variables. Lesions disrupting smoking addiction occurred in many different brain locations but were characterized by a specific pattern of brain connectivity. This pattern involved positive connectivity to the dorsal cingulate, lateral prefrontal cortex, and insula and negative connectivity to the medial prefrontal and temporal cortex. This circuit was reproducible across independent lesion cohorts, associated with reduced alcohol addiction risk, and specific to addiction metrics. Hubs that best matched the connectivity profile for addiction remission were the paracingulate gyrus, left frontal operculum, and medial fronto-polar cortex. We conclude that brain lesions disrupting addiction map to a specific human brain circuit and that hubs in this circuit provide testable targets for therapeutic neuromodulation.

Substance use disorders (SUDs) affect 8–10% of the adult population, are a leading cause of death in the young and are considered a public health crisis in the USA and other countries¹. Existing treatments are inadequate and long-term success rates are poor².

This clinical need has driven the search for new therapies, including modulation of brain regions implicated in addiction^{1,3}. Trials of deep brain stimulation (DBS)⁴, transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)⁵ and surgical lesioning⁶⁻¹⁰ have targeted several different brain regions, with no consensus on the optimal target^{4,5}. Given this ambiguity, a TMS device recently cleared by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for smoking cessation was designed to target multiple brain regions^{11,12}. To better guide neuromodulation therapies, we need to know which brain regions are causally involved in addiction remission in human patients.

A unique source of information that can help answer this question is cases where brain damage such as a stroke results in remission of addiction in a patient^{13–15}. These cases are valuable because they provide a causal link between therapeutic benefit and human neuroanatomy^{16,17}. For example, lesions involving the insula are more likely to disrupt nicotine addiction than lesions that spare the insula¹³. However, lesions disrupting addiction have been reported outside the insula in many different brain locations, leaving localization unclear¹³⁻¹⁵.

Recently, it has been possible to link lesions in different brain locations to a common neuroanatomical substrate using the human connectome, a map of human brain connectivity^{18,19}. When lesions result in therapeutic benefit, this approach can identify effective therapeutic targets^{20,21}. For example, lesion locations that improve essential tremor are all connected to the exact spot in the thalamus that is an effective target for DBS²¹. In this study, we apply this method to lesions resulting in addiction remission.

Results

Across two independent datasets (Supplementary Table 1), we identified 129 patients who were active daily nicotine smokers at the time of an acquired brain lesion: 69 patients (53%) continued smoking while 34 patients (26%) fulfilled the criteria for addiction

¹Turku Brain and Mind Center, Clinical Neurosciences, University of Turku, Turku, Finland. ²Neurocenter and Turku PET Center, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland. ³Berenson-Allen Center for Noninvasive Brain Stimulation, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA. ⁴National Institute on Drug Abuse-Intramural Research Program, Baltimore, MD, USA. ⁵Department of Psychiatry, University of Pittsburgh, PA, USA. ⁶Center for Brain Circuit Therapeutics, Departments of Neurology Psychiatry and Radiology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. ⁷Clinical Affairs, Philips Healthcare, Cambridge, MA, USA. ⁸Department of Neurology, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ⁹Computational Radiology Laboratory, Department of Radiology, Boston Children's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. ⁹Department of Imaging Sciences, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY, USA. ¹⁰Departments of Pediatrics, Neurology & Psychiatry, University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics, Iowa City, IA, USA. ¹²Shirley Ryan AbilityLab, Chicago, IL, USA. ¹³Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Neurology, Cognitive Neurology and Alzheimer's Center, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA. ¹⁴Department of Psychiatry, Feinberg School of Medicine and Department of Psychology, Weinberg College of Arts and Sciences, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL, USA. ¹⁵Department of Public Health Sciences, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY, USA. ¹⁶ Intramural Research Program, National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, Bethesda, MD, USA. ¹⁷These authors contributed equally: Juho Joutsa, Khaled Moussawi, Shan H. Siddiqi. ⁵⁸e-mail: jtjout@utu.fi; foxmdphd@gmail.com



Fig. 1 | Lesions associated with addiction remission occur in many different brain locations. a,b, Some lesions associated with smoking addiction remission intersected the insula (a) but many others did not (b). Each slice represents a different patient and lesion locations are shown in red. Lesions from all 129 patients are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1.

remission (quit smoking without difficulty immediately after the lesion, did not relapse and reported absence of craving since quitting). On average (s.d.), patients smoked 23.1 (14.1) cigarettes per day; there was no difference between patients who remitted and those who did not quit (P=0.98). Lesion locations were highly heterogeneous (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1). Standard voxel-wise lesion-symptom mapping (VLSM) failed to identify any voxels significantly associated with addiction remission (P>0.2), failed to show consistency between our 2 lesion cohorts (spatial similarity r=0.05, permutation test P=0.38) and failed to correlate with addiction remission across cohorts (P=0.36) (Supplementary Fig. 2).

Given this heterogeneity in lesion locations, we next tested whether lesion locations disrupting addiction mapped to a connected brain circuit rather than one specific brain region. Connectivity patterns were compared between lesion locations resulting in smoking addiction remission and lesion locations from non-quitters (Fig. 2a,b). In contrast to our negative analysis of lesion location, we identified multiple significant differences in lesion connectivity (family-wise error-corrected P (P_{FWE}) < 0.05; Fig. 2c,d and Supplementary Table 2). This result was independent of whether we used a connectome from active daily smokers (Supplementary Table 3) or a large normative connectome. We will refer to this map as our addiction remission network. Positive regions in this map showed stronger positive functional connectivity to lesion locations disrupting smoking addiction (versus lesion locations from non-quitters). Similarly, negative regions showed stronger negative functional connectivity to lesion locations disrupting smoking addiction (versus lesion locations from non-quitters) (Fig. 2c-f). As such, a lesion likely to lead to addiction remission would be positively connected to the cingulate and insula but negatively connected to the medial prefrontal cortex. Conversely, a lesion with the opposite connectivity profile would be the least likely to lead to addiction remission.

This connectivity pattern was reproducible when computed separately using our 2 independent lesion cohorts (spatial similarity r=0.58, permutation test P=0.03; Supplementary Fig. 3), was correlated with addiction remission across cohorts (P=0.04) and was driven by similarity across lesions disrupting smoking addiction not similarity across lesions from non-quitters (U=694, P<0.001; Supplementary Fig. 4). The connectivity pattern was robust to different cutoffs for defining active daily smoking (Supplementary Fig. 5), adding lesion size as a covariate (Supplementary Fig. 6a,b),

adding proportion of gray/white matter in the lesion mask as a covariate (Supplementary Fig. 6c), adding patient age as a covariate (Supplementary Fig. 6d), restricting our analysis to only strokes (Supplementary Fig. 6e), restricting our analysis to lesion masks defined with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (Supplementary Fig. 6f), using a connectome processed without global signal regression (Supplementary Fig. 7) or including insula damage as a covariate in a mediation analysis (Supplementary Fig. 8).

Our addiction remission network showed a striking dissociation in the striatum, changing from positive to negative at the boundary of the dorsal versus ventral striatum (striatal region×lesion group interaction $F_{(1,101)}$ =8.4, P=0.005) (Fig. 2e). This dissociation was mirrored in the prefrontal cortex, with positive connectivity in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and negative connectivity in the ventromedial prefrontal cortex (Fig. 2f).

In the subset of patients who underwent neuropsychological testing, there were no significant differences between patients who remitted and those who did not quit smoking in intelligence quotient, executive function, working memory, verbal comprehension, mood or social introversion (Supplementary Table 4). An addiction remission map computed from just this subset of patients was nearly identical to the map generated using the full dataset (Supplementary Fig. 9).

To investigate anatomical connections that may underlie this functional network, we investigated damage to white matter tracts. Damage to 14 different tracts was associated with addiction remission (Supplementary Table 5). Two of these tracts (left fronto-insular tracts 1 and 2) survived correction for multiple comparisons. These tracts fell within 2 mm (1 voxel) of the whole brain peak of our addiction remission network derived using the functional connectome ($P_{\rm FWE}$ < 0.05; Supplementary Fig. 10a). Similarly, lesion locations resulting in addiction remission had higher white matter 'disconnectome scores' between nodes of our addiction remission network compared to lesion locations from non-quitters (P=0.003; Supplementary Fig. 10b,c,d).

To investigate generalizability, we studied 186 patients with lesions who completed an alcoholism risk assessment (Supplementary Table 6). Lesions associated with lower alcoholism risk showed similar connectivity to lesions that disrupted addiction to smoking (Fig. 3a,b; spatial r=0.65, permutation test P=0.04), even when controlling for smoking status (spatial r=0.69, permutation test

NATURE MEDICINE

ARTICLES



Fig. 2 | LNM of addiction remission. a, Lesion locations associated with remission of addiction to smoking (left, single example shown in red) and not quitting smoking (middle, single example shown in red) were compared using VLSM but with no significant findings (right). **b**, Next, the network of brain regions functionally connected to each lesion location was computed using LNM. Network maps are shown for the same two lesion examples from **a**. Positive functional connectivity values are shown in cool colors. Lesion network maps were statistically compared to identify connections significantly associated with smoking addiction remission (right, **b**). **c**-**e**, Lesion locations disrupting addiction showed a specific pattern of brain connectivity as computed using a smoker (**c**) or normative connectome (**d**,**e**). The maps derived using the normative connectome, which was used in all subsequent analyses, are displayed on the brain slices (**d**,**e**) and brain surface (**f**). This pattern included positive connectivity to the insula, dorsal cingulate and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex and negative connectivity to the medial prefrontal and temporal cortex (Supplementary Table 2). **c**,**d**, Maps were corrected for multiple comparisons using threshold-free cluster enhancement with default parameters in FSL (one-sided $P_{\text{FWE}} < 0.05$). **e**,**f**, Maps are shown unthresholded to better illustrate the dorsal/ventral dissociation in the striatum (**e**) and frontal cortex (**f**).

0.0

ARTICLES

NATURE MEDICINE



Fig. 3 | Generalizability of the smoking addiction remission network to alcoholism. a,b, The connectivity profile of lesions associated with addiction remission to smoking (**a**) was very similar to the connectivity profile of lesions associated with reduced alcoholism risk (**b**). Maps are shown unthresholded to facilitate visual comparison. **c**, This network similarity was specific to alcoholism risk since network maps generated for 37 other neuropsychological variables failed to match our addiction remission network. The alcoholism risk map was the only map that was more similar to our addiction remission network than expected by chance (permutation analysis, one-sided P = 0.04). NBR, neurobehavioral rating scale. *r*, map spatial correlation coefficient with the smoking addiction remission map. *P < 0.05, uncorrected.

P=0.04). This concordance between datasets was driven by network connectivity, not lesion location alone, since repeating the analysis using traditional VLSM failed to show similarity (spatial r=-0.15, P=0.83). This concordance was also specific to addiction risk since maps generated for 10 other Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory (MMPI) variables failed to match as well as the addiction risk map (P<0.001) as did 27 maps generated using other neuropsychological variables (Fig. 3c). We also identified 3 case reports of lesions that disrupted addiction to substances other than nicotine and found network connectivity similar to that of lesions disrupting addiction to smoking (P<0.05; Supplementary Fig. 11).

Finally, we investigated which brain voxels have a connectivity profile that best matches the connectivity profile of lesion locations disrupting addiction. In theory, positive nodes in this map represent the ideal location to place a focal lesion to disrupt addiction, while negative nodes in this map represent the ideal location for excitatory brain stimulation, such as high-frequency TMS. Peak positive nodes were located in the left frontal opercular cortex adjacent to the left insula highlighted by prior lesion studies and in the paracingulate gyrus, just above the cingulate gyrus previously used as a surgical lesion target for addiction (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Table 7). The peak negative node was located in the medial fronto-polar cortex, overlapping the maximal electric field of the TMS coil recently approved by the FDA for smoking cessation and the TMS coil showing efficacy in the treatment of alcohol dependence in a proof-of-concept study (Fig. 4, Supplementary Fig. 12 and Supplementary Table 7).

Discussion

There are several important findings from this study. First, lesions resulting in addiction remission occur in multiple different brain locations but are characterized by a specific pattern of connectivity to brain regions implicated in circuit-based models of addiction³. Second, the connectivity profile of lesions disrupting nicotine addiction is similar to the connectivity profile of lesions reducing the risk of alcoholism, suggesting a shared network for addiction across these substances of abuse. Finally, brain locations best matching the connectivity profile for addiction remission align with targets that have shown promise in treating addiction, suggesting potential therapeutic relevance of our results.

Studying brain lesions that cause specific neurological or psychiatric symptoms is a powerful method to localize human brain function, allowing for causal links between symptoms and neuroanatomy^{16,17,19}. Previous studies found that lesions intersecting the insula are statistically more likely to result in addiction remission¹³⁻¹⁵ but other studies failed to replicate this finding or highlighted alternative brain regions, such as the basal ganglia^{14,15}. Our findings help reconcile these results by showing that lesions associated with addiction remission map to a common brain circuit, not one specific brain region³. Our addiction remission network aligns well with neuroimaging abnormalities in patients with addiction and existing circuit-based models of addiction^{1,3}. Our method complements this neuroimaging work by showing which neuroimaging correlates of addiction are linked to addiction remission based on brain lesions.

Lesion locations associated with addiction remission show positive functional connectivity to one set of brain regions (for example, insula, dorsal cingulate, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex) and negative functional connectivity to another set of regions (for example, ventral medial prefrontal cortex). These regions have been implicated in multiple different cognitive functions thought to be relevant for addiction and addiction remission^{1,3}. The contrasting connectivity

ARTICLES



Fig. 4 | Refining neuroanatomical treatment targets for addiction. a-c, Neuroanatomical targets for treatment of addiction based on previous work included the insula (green, a), anterior cingulate (green, b) and frontal cortex (electric field models of the H4 and H7 TMS coils shown in blue-green, c). Voxels whose connectivity profile best matched our lesion-based addiction remission network included the left operculum/insula (red-yellow, a), paracingulate gyrus (red-yellow, b) and medial fronto-polar cortex (red-yellow, c). Therapeutic targets identified using LNM overlapped previous targets (right, a-c) but also provided testable hypotheses for how these targets might be refined or improved. Additional images for the electric field models of the H4 and H7 TMS coils (c) are shown in Supplementary Fig. 12.

pattern to these two networks, mirrored also in the striatum, is consistent with clinical and preclinical data suggesting that an imbalance between networks may underlie vulnerability to addiction and relapse²²⁻²⁴. Our results may lend insight into which circuits are imbalanced and how this balance might be modulated for addiction remission. A lesion location connected to multiple brain regions may modulate multiple features of addiction simultaneously, correct imbalances (for example, decrease activity in the positively connected and increase activity in the negatively connected regions) and lead to addiction remission via subtle behavioral changes across multiple different domains. This view is supported by the lack of significant differences in any specific neuropsychological measurement between groups. However, it is possible that other behaviors that were not measured could be different between lesion groups.

Our analyses show concordance between results obtained using a functional and structural connectome; however, these approaches are fundamentally different and provide complementary information^{19,25}. The functional connectome may be most useful in studying effects that map to polysynaptic brain networks and the structural connectome for effects that map to single white matter connections²⁵. Further work is needed to determine how best to combine these approaches. Similar to previous work, we used a group connectome as an approximation of the connectivity of each individual patient, an approach that has certain advantages¹⁹. Our findings were nearly identical using a connectome from a group of smokers (n=126) or a generic normative connectome (n=1,000), which is consistent with previous work^{18,26}. Future work may benefit from collecting diffusion MRI or connectivity imaging data in each patient with lesions.

Neuromodulation trials have shown some promise in treating addiction but have been limited by the fact that the optimal therapeutic target was unknown⁵. We hypothesize that the optimal lesion target for addiction is the brain region that best matches the connectivity profile of lesions disrupting addiction. These targets include the frontal operculum and paracingulate cortex, the latter being immediately adjacent to the anterior cingulate, the first lesion target used to treat addiction^{6,7}. We also identified brain regions that showed the exact opposite (negative) connectivity profile of lesions associated with addiction remission, which we hypothesize is an optimal target for excitatory brain stimulation. The top negative peak is in the medial fronto-polar cortex, which has served as an optogenetic and TMS target to relieve addiction^{5,27}. Interestingly, the TMS coil recently cleared by the FDA to treat smoking cessation was designed to target the insula and dorsolateral prefrontal cortex but the peak electric field intensity was actually in the fronto-polar cortex, overlapping our lesion-based target for addiction remission^{11,12}. Similarly, a different TMS coil showing efficacy in the treatment of alcohol dependence, designed to target the medial prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortices, also overlaps our hypothesized TMS target²⁸. Both of these trials used 10-Hz repetitive TMS thought to exert an excitatory effect on the underlying brain tissue, which is also consistent with our hypothesis. Finally, our results implicate a network of multiple regions, not just a single region, in addiction remission. Treatments designed to excite and inhibit different regions simultaneously, such as multifocal electrode arrays, may prove useful²⁹.

Strengths of our study include replication of results across three independent datasets, use of causal lesion data from human patients and the generation of testable hypotheses and therapeutic targets for use in future work. There are also several limitations. First, our addiction remission network is derived from nicotine smokers, potentially limiting generalizability to other SUDs. However, this addiction remission network was consistent with lesions that reduced the risk of alcohol addiction, case reports of lesions disrupting addiction to other substances and TMS coil models for both smoking and alcoholism, which is consistent with a shared network for addiction across drugs of abuse^{1,3,22}. However, it should be noted that personality factors that predispose to alcoholism, as measured with the MacAndrew Alcoholism Scale (MAC), are not equivalent to actually having an addiction to alcohol. Second, exposure to cigarettes after discharge from hospital, the ability to conduct daily function and socioeconomic indicators, such as family support, income and location of residence or residential status were not assessed, although this would add noise to the data and bias us against the current findings. Third, neuropsychological scores were only available from a subset of patients. However, our addiction remission map was almost identical when calculated using this subset, suggesting that the sample was representative of the full dataset. Finally, while our study aligns with previous neuromodulation targets for addiction and identifies specific coordinates designed to optimize these effects, these targets are to be prospectively tested in randomized clinical trials. Similarly, the side effect profile of treatments directly targeting the nodes of our addiction remission circuit is to be assessed since this could differ from existing data on cingulotomy or medial prefrontal TMS^{6-8,11,30}. Whether noninvasive brain stimulation, such as TMS, can provide a lasting change to the behavior is to be determined.

In summary, heterogeneous lesion locations disrupting addiction are characterized by a specific pattern of connectivity and hubs that best capture this pattern provide data-driven, testable targets for therapeutic neuromodulation. However, side effects potentially associated with these targets are unknown and must be characterized in detail.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41591-022-01834-y.

Received: 24 March 2021; Accepted: 21 April 2022; Published online: 13 June 2022

References

- Volkow, N. D., Koob, G. F. & McLellan, A. T. Neurobiologic advances from the brain disease model of addiction. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 374, 363–371 (2016).
- McLellan, A. T., Lewis, D. C., O'Brien, C. P. & Kleber, H. D. Drug dependence, a chronic medical illness: implications for treatment, insurance, and outcomes evaluation. *JAMA* 284, 1689–1695 (2000).
- Koob, G. F. & Volkow, N. D. Neurobiology of addiction: a neurocircuitry analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* 3, 760–773 (2016).
- Luigjes, J., Segrave, R., de Joode, N., Figee, M. & Denys, D. Efficacy of invasive and non-invasive brain modulation interventions for addiction. *Neuropsychol. Rev.* 29, 116–138 (2019).
- Ekhtiari, H. et al. Transcranial electrical and magnetic stimulation (tES and TMS) for addiction medicine: a consensus paper on the present state of the science and the road ahead. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* 104, 118–140 (2019).
- Balasubramaniam, V., Kanaka, T. S. & Ramanujam, P. B. Stereotaxic cingulumotomy for drug addiction. *Neurol. India* 21, 63–66 (1973).
- Kanaka, T. S. & Balasubramaniam, V. Stereotactic cingulumotomy for drug addiction. *Appl. Neurophysiol.* 41, 86–92 (1978).
- Medvedev, S. V., Anichkov, A. D. & Poliakov, I. I. Physiological mechanisms of the effectiveness of bilateral stereotactic cingulotomy in treatment of strong psychological dependence in drug addiction. *Fiziol. Cheloveka* 29, 117–123 (2003).
- Li, N. et al. Nucleus accumbens surgery for addiction. World Neurosurg. 80, S28.e9–S28.e19 (2013).
- Gao, G. & Wang, X. in Neurosurgical Treatments for Psychiatric Disorders (eds Sun, B. & De Salles, A.) (Springer, 2015).
- Dinur-Klein, L. et al. Smoking cessation induced by deep repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation of the prefrontal and insular cortices: a prospective, randomized controlled trial. *Biol. Psychiatry* 76, 742–749 (2014).
- Fiocchi, S. et al. Deep transcranial magnetic stimulation for the addiction treatment: electric field distribution modelling. *IEEE J. Electromagn. RF Microw. Med. Biol.* 2, 242–248 (2018).
- Naqvi, N. H., Rudrauf, D., Damasio, H. & Bechara, A. Damage to the insula disrupts addiction to cigarette smoking. *Science* 315, 531–534 (2007).
- Gaznick, N., Tranel, D., McNutt, A. & Bechara, A. Basal ganglia plus insula damage yields stronger disruption of smoking addiction than basal ganglia damage alone. *Nicotine Tob. Res.* 16, 445–453 (2014).
- Abdolahi, A. et al. Smoking cessation behaviors three months following acute insular damage from stroke. *Addict. Behav.* 51, 24–30 (2015).
- Damasio, H. & Damasio, A. R. Lesion Analysis in Neuropsychology (Oxford Univ. Press, 1989).
- Karnath, H.-O., Sperber, C. & Rorden, C. Mapping human brain lesions and their functional consequences. *Neuroimage* 165, 180–189 (2018).
- Boes, A. D. et al. Network localization of neurological symptoms from focal brain lesions. *Brain* 138, 3061–3075 (2015).
- Fox, M. D. Mapping symptoms to brain networks with the human connectome. N. Engl. J. Med. 379, 2237–2245 (2018).
- 20. Kapur, N. Paradoxical functional facilitation in brain-behaviour research. A critical review. *Brain* **119**, 1775–1790 (1996).
- Joutsa, J. et al. Identifying therapeutic targets from spontaneous beneficial brain lesions. Ann. Neurol. 84, 153–157 (2018).
- Volkow, N. D., Wang, G.-J., Tomasi, D. & Baler, R. D. Unbalanced neuronal circuits in addiction. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 23, 639–648 (2013).
- Hu, Y. et al. Compulsive drug use is associated with imbalance of orbitofrontal- and prelimbic-striatal circuits in punishment-resistant individuals. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 116, 9066–9071 (2019).

NATURE MEDICINE

ARTICLES

- 24. Hu, Y., Salmeron, B. J., Gu, H., Stein, E. A. & Yang, Y. Impaired functional connectivity within and between frontostriatal circuits and its association with compulsive drug use and trait impulsivity in cocaine addiction. *JAMA Psychiatry* **72**, 584–592 (2015).
- Bowren, M. et al. Post-stroke cognitive and motor outcomes predicted from lesion location and lesion network mapping. *Brain* https://doi.org/10.1093/ brain/awac010 (2022).
- 26. Horn, A. et al. Connectivity predicts deep brain stimulation outcome in Parkinson disease. *Ann. Neurol.* **82**, 67–78 (2017).
- Chen, B. T. et al. Rescuing cocaine-induced prefrontal cortex hypoactivity prevents compulsive cocaine seeking. *Nature* 496, 359–362 (2013).
- 28. Harel, M. et al. Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in alcohol dependence: a randomised, double-blind, sham-controlled proof-of-concept trial targeting the medial prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortices. *Biol. Psychiatry* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2021.11.020 (2021).
- Fischer, D. B. et al. Multifocal tDCS targeting the resting state motor network increases cortical excitability beyond traditional tDCS targeting unilateral motor cortex. *Neuroimage* 157, 34–44 (2017).

 Dougherty, D. D. et al. Prospective long-term follow-up of 44 patients who received cingulotomy for treatment-refractory obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 159, 269–275 (2002).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long

as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022

Methods

For full details of each analysis, please see the supplementary information.

Smoker lesion cohorts. Two cohorts of patients who were active daily nicotine cigarette smokers at the time of focal brain damage were analyzed retrospectively: one cohort from the University of Iowa13 and a second cohort from the University of Rochester¹⁵. Because previous publications using these cohorts used qualitative review of structural brain scans^{13,17}, we returned to the original computed tomography/MRI data to generate precise outlines of each lesion location in the atlas space. Cases without clearly identifiable lesions or diffuse lesions were excluded. Altogether 129 brain lesions were available for analysis (n = 67 and n = 62 in the Iowa and Rochester datasets, respectively). The outcome of smoking behavior was assessed at the time of the original study in person or via telephone (only in person in the Rochester cohort). Disruption of smoking addiction was defined using previously established criteria^{13,15}: the patient reported quitting smoking less than a day after their brain lesion, rated difficulty of quitting 1 or 2 on a scale of 1-7, reported not starting smoking again since their brain injury and reported that they felt no urge to smoke since quitting. For simplicity, we use the term 'addiction remission' to refer to patients meeting these criteria.

VLSM. Brain lesion locations were manually traced and transferred to the MNI space. VLSM was used to test for associations between lesion location and clinical outcome using the NiiStat software v1.0.20191216 (https://github.com/neurolabusc/NiiStat). Study site and lesion size were included as covariates and lesioned voxels associated with smoking addiction remission (n = 34, 26%) versus not quitting (n = 69, 53%) were identified. Patients who quit smoking but did not meet criteria for addiction remission (for example, continued to crave cigarettes) were included in the model to maximize spatial coverage of the lesions and statistical power. Reproducibility was assessed by analyzing each cohort separately, testing for similarity across cohorts and determining whether addiction remission in one cohort could be determined using results from the other cohort.

Lesion network mapping. A recently developed method, termed lesion network mapping (LNM), was used to investigate functional connectivity between each lesion location and all other brain voxels^{18,19}. To ensure that the results were independent of the connectome, LNM analyses were conducted separately using two different connectomes: a connectome derived from 126 current smokers and another derived from 1,000 healthy volunteers.

Briefly, lesion locations were used as seed regions for resting-state functional connectivity MRI analysis using the connectome data. One lesion mask for each patient (n = 129) was generated in the MNI space and used as a seed region for connectivity analyses. Each patient's lesion mask was used as a single seed. Seed-based functional connectivity between the lesion mask and the rest of the brain was computed using resting-state functional connectivity data from individuals included in the connectome, resulting in 126 or 1,000 (according to the number of individuals included in the connectome) functional connectivity maps per seed. The maps in the connectome were combined to create a single connectivity map per seed, which we call a 'lesion network' (one for each patient). These 129 lesion networks were then utilized in a single general linear model with study site as a covariate to identify connections associated with smoking addiction remission (below). Because the normative connectome is larger, showed better split-half reliability (Supplementary Fig. 7) and is more generalizable, we used this connectome for subsequent analyses; however, the addiction remission network was nearly identical using either the smoker or normative connectome.

Connectivity profile of addiction remission. At each voxel, a general linear model was constructed to relate lesion connectivity to clinical outcome (addiction remission, quitting without remission, not quitting). Study site was included as a covariate and connections associated with addiction remission versus not quitting were identified. The analysis was conducted across the entire brain and statistical significance was set at $P_{\rm FWE}$ < 0.05 using threshold-free cluster enhancement implemented in FSL v.6.0 (https://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl). Reproducibility across lesion cohorts was assessed using the same criteria described above for VLSM. Robustness was assessed by using different cutoffs for defining active daily smoking, adding lesion size as a covariate, adding gray/white matter proportion in the lesion mask as a covariate, adding patient age as a covariate, limiting the analysis to only stroke lesions, limiting the analysis to lesion masks defined with MRI, comparing the results between the two connectomes, using a connectome processed without global signal regression and testing whether our connectivity findings were mediated by damage to the insula. To test whether differences in addiction remission were associated with other cognitive/behavioral effects, we investigated neuropsychological scores in a subsample of patients who underwent dedicated testing after the occurrence of the lesion (n = 57).

Given previous work implicating the dorsal (dorsal caudate and dorsal putamen) versus ventral striatum (nucleus accumbens, ventral caudate and ventral putamen) in addiction³, we created two striatal regions of interest (ROIs), as described in detail elsewhere³¹. Functional connectivity between each lesion location and these striatal ROIs was calculated using the normative human connectome data. The resulting correlation coefficients were z-transformed

and differences in lesion connectivity to the ventral versus dorsal striatum was investigated using a multivariate analysis of variance (ROI×group interaction).

Structural connectivity profile of addiction remission. Lesion locations from each individual were mapped onto tractography reconstructions of 68 white matter pathways distributed with BCBtoolkit³². Damage to white matter tracts was quantified using the Tractotron tool in BCBtoolkit³³. For each white matter tract, a general linear model using PALM⁵⁴ was constructed to relate tract damage to clinical outcome (addiction remission, quitting without remission, not quitting). Study site and lesion size were included as covariates and damage to white matter tracts associated with addiction remission versus not quitting were identified. We controlled for multiple comparisons across the 68 white matter tracts using PALM analogous to our voxel-wise analyses³⁴; statistical significance was set at $P_{FWE} < 0.05$ (one-tailed, as only considering addiction remission > not quitting).

Associations between lesion structural and functional connectivity. Structural disconnection maps were calculated using the Disconnectome tool in BCBtoolkit³³. As in previous work with this toolkit³⁵, we utilized diffusion-weighted imaging data from 178 healthy controls³⁶, identified white matter fibers passing through each lesion location37,38 and then transformed these fiber maps into binarized visitation maps in the MNI152 space and summed these maps across individuals^{39,40}. This process resulted in a single 'structural disconnection map' for each lesion and reflects the probability of structural disconnection between the lesion location and each brain voxel⁴⁰. To test for associations between these structural disconnection maps and our addiction remission network (derived from the functional connectome), we summed the voxels from each structural disconnection map that intersected positive regions in our addiction remission network (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Table 2). This generated a single structural 'disconnectome score' for each lesion. A general linear model using PALM³⁴ was constructed to relate the structural disconnectome score to clinical outcome (addiction remission, quitting without remission, not quitting) and the contrast of interest was addiction remission versus not quitting. Study site and lesion size were included as covariates. Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05 (one-tailed hypothesis testing was used because the hypothesis was directional: addiction remission > not quitting).

Generalizability across SUDs. Brain lesions from individuals with penetrating focal brain injury, part of the Vietnam head injury study⁽¹⁾, were analyzed retrospectively (n = 186). Although we could not assess addiction remission in this dataset (information on substance use before brain injury was not collected), we could study addiction risk using the MAC, a subscale of the MMPI that measures personality characteristics that predispose to alcoholism. Connectivity with each lesion location was computed as described earlier. Connections correlated with MAC score were identified, yielding a map of connections associated with alcoholism risk. The similarity of this map with our smoking addiction remission map was calculated using spatial correlation and verified using a permutation analysis⁴². To test for specificity to addiction risk, we repeated our analyses using the 10 other domains of MMPI and 27 other behavioral variables available in this dataset.

Finally, a literature search was conducted to identify case reports of lesions resulting in remission of non-nicotine SUDs. Lesion location and connectivity in each case were computed and compared to our smoking addiction remission map.

Neuroanatomical treatment targets. To determine which brain voxels had a connectivity profile that best matched the profile for lesion-induced addiction remission, a connectivity map for each brain voxel was computed and compared to our smoking addiction remission map using spatial correlation. This generated a voxel-wise map where the intensity of each voxel reflected the match to our addiction remission map. This map was thresholded at r > 0.75 and r < -0.75 to show only the peak findings. Results were overlaid on existing lesion targets for addiction, such as the anterior cingulate and insula (ROIs from the Harvard–Oxford atlas), and existing TMS targets for smoking and alcoholism (BrainsWay TMS H4 and H7 coil electric field models, respectively)^{$es_{11-1328}$}. The H4 coil was recently approved by the FDA for short-term smoking cessation (https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf20/K200957.pdf).

The study was approved by the local institutional review boards (Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (no. 2018P000128) and Brigham and Women's Hospital (no. 2020P002987)); all individuals provided written informed consent as part of the original study they were enrolled in.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

De-identified lesion masks in the MNI atlas space from our two primary datasets (Iowa and Rochester cohorts) are available from Harvard Dataverse (https://doi. org/10.7910/DVN/8BHHRS). Clinical and behavioral data from the patients with lesions is available upon request, subject to the policies and procedures of the

NATURE MEDICINE

ARTICLES

institution where each dataset was collected. Data requests should be sent to the corresponding authors.

Code availability

The code for the lesion connectivity analysis is freely available in the Lead-DBS toolbox (www.lead-dbs.org). We have made a fully preprocessed version of our 1,000 individual functional connectome publicly available (https://doi.org/10.7910/ DVN/ILXIKS), which was created using the Brain Genomics Superstruct Project data (https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/25833), along with all of the code and parameters used to process the data (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4905738).

References

- Mawlawi, O. et al. Imaging human mesolimbic dopamine transmission with positron emission tomography: I. accuracy and precision of D₂ receptor parameter measurements in ventral striatum. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab.* 21, 1034–1057 (2001).
- Rojkova, K. et al. Atlasing the frontal lobe connections and their variability due to age and education: a spherical deconvolution tractography study. *Brain Struct. Funct.* 221, 1751–1766 (2016).
- 33. Foulon, C. et al. Advanced lesion symptom mapping analyses and implementation as BCBtoolkit. *Gigascience* 7, giy004 (2018).
- 34. Winkler, A. M., Ridgway, G. R., Webster, M. A., Smith, S. M. & Nichols, T. E. Permutation inference for the general linear model. *Neuroimage* 92, 381–397 (2014).
- Thiebaut de Schotten, M., Foulon, C. & Nachev, P. Brain disconnections link structural connectivity with function and behaviour. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 5094 (2020).
- 36. Karolis, V. R., Corbetta, M. & Thiebaut de Schotten, M. The architecture of functional lateralisation and its relationship to callosal connectivity in the human brain. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1417 (2019).
- 37. Thiebaut de Schotten, M. et al. A lateralized brain network for visuospatial attention. *Nat. Neurosci.* **14**, 1245–1246 (2011).
- Wang, R., Benner, T., Sorensen, A. G. & Wedeen, V. J. Diffusion Toolkit: a software package for diffusion imaging data processing and tractography. *Proc. Int. Soc. Mag. Reson. Med.* 15, 3720 (2007).
- Thiebaut de Schotten, M. et al. Atlasing location, asymmetry and inter-subject variability of white matter tracts in the human brain with MR diffusion tractography. *Neuroimage* 54, 49–59 (2011).
- Thiebaut de Schotten, M. et al. From Phineas Gage and Monsieur Leborgne to H.M.: revisiting disconnection syndromes. *Cereb. Cortex* 25, 4812–4827 (2015).
- Raymont, V., Salazar, A. M., Krueger, F. & Grafman, J. "Studying injured minds"—the Vietnam head injury study and 40 years of brain injury research. *Front. Neurol.* 2, 15 (2011).

42. Siddiqi, S. et al. Convergent causal mapping of neuropsychiatric symptoms using invasive brain stimulation, noninvasive brain stimulation, and lesions. *Biol. Psychiatry* **89**, S99–S100 (2021).

Acknowledgements

We thank Y. Roth, A. Zangen and BrainsWay for sharing the electric field models of their H4 and H7 TMS coils. J.J. was funded by the Academy of Finland (no. 295580), Finnish Medical Foundation, Instrumentarium Research Foundation, Finnish Foundation for Alcohol Studies and Turku University Hospital (ERVA funds). K.M. was funded by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) (no. DA048085). S.H.S. was funded by the Sidney R. Baer and Brain & Behavior Research Foundations. A.L.C. was funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH) and National Institute of Mental Health (no. K23MH120510). T.J.R., H.U.D. and E.A.S. were supported by the Intramural Research Program of NIDA/NIH. H.Z.W. was supported by the NIH and National Institute on Aging (no. R01AG054328-01A1). J.B. and A.D.B. were funded by the NIH (no. 5R01NS114405-03). M.D.F. was supported by grants from the Sidney R. Baer Jr. Foundation, the NIH (R01MH113929 R01MH113929, R21MH126271, R56AG069086, R21NS123813), the Nancy Lurie Marks Foundation, the Kaye Family Research Fund, the Ellison/Baszucki Foundation, and the Mather's Foundation.

Author contributions

J.J., K.M., A.D.B. and M.D.F. designed the study. A.A., H.Z.W., E.A.S., J.H.G. and E.v.W. collected the data. J.J., K.M., S.H.S., W.D., A.L.C., T.J.R., H.U.D., J.B., A.D.B. and M.D.F. analyzed the data. All authors took part in the interpretation of the data. J.J. and M.D.F. wrote the first draft; the other authors reviewed and critically revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

M.D.F. and S.H.S. own patents on using brain connectivity to guide brain stimulation. M.D.F. and S.H.S. have received investigator-initiated research funding from Neuronetics, which is unrelated to the present work. The other authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-022-01834-y.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Juho Joutsa or Michael D. Fox.

Peer review information *Nature Medicine* thanks Dara Ghahremani, Morten Kringelbach, Nasir Naqvi and Trevor Robbins for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Primary Handling editor: Jerome Staal, in collaboration with the Nature Medicine team.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

nature research

Corresponding author(s): Juho Joutsa, Michael D. Fox

Last updated by author(s): 04/15/2022

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

Statistics

| For | all st | atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section. |
|-------------|-------------|---|
| n/a | Cor | firmed |
| | \boxtimes | The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement |
| | \boxtimes | A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly |
| | | The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section. |
| | \boxtimes | A description of all covariates tested |
| | \boxtimes | A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons |
| | \boxtimes | A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals) |
| | | For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable</i> . |
| \boxtimes | | For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings |
| \boxtimes | | For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes |
| | \boxtimes | Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated |
| | | Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above. |
| | | |

Software and code

| Policy information about availability of computer code | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Data collection | Lesion network maps were constructed using in-house scripts in combination with public human connectome data, as described below. | | | | |
| Data analysis | All analyses were conducted using freely available codes and software, as described in the manuscript. The software include FSL (version 6.0), Niistat (version 1.0.20191216). The code for lesion connectivity analysis is freely available in Lead DBS software (www.lead-dbs.org). We have made a fully pre-processed version of our 1000 subject functional connectome publicly available [https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/ILXIKS] created using the Brain Genomics Superstuct Project (GSP) data [https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/25833], along with all of the code and parameters used to process the data [https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4905738]. | | | | |

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a <u>data availability statement</u>. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable: - Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets

- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

De-identified lesion masks in MNI atlas space from our two primary datasets (lowa and Rochester cohorts) are available from Harvard Dataverse (https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/8BHHRS). Clinical and behavioral data from the lesion patients is available upon request, subject to the policies and procedures of the institution where each dataset was collected. Data requests should be sent to the corresponding authors.

Field-specific reporting

Life sciences

Please select the one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.

Behavioural & social sciences 🛛 🔄 Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>

Life sciences study design

| All studies must dis | sclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative. |
|----------------------|---|
| Sample size | Because there is no standard method for estimating sample size for this type of study, we attempted to identify as much data as was possible. |
| Data exclusions | All subjects that were available and matched the inclusion criteria (a brain lesion in the MRI/CT and outcome measures of interest) were included into this study, as described in the methods. |
| Replication | As outlined in the manuscript, we used rigorous statistical techniques to assess overall reproducibility across three different datasets incuded in the study. No replication attempts were unsuccessful. |
| Randomization | Rather than prospective randomization, this study capitalized on incidental variability of brain lesions. This incidental variability was presumed to be random. |
| Blinding | Blinding was not relevant because this was a secondary analysis of existing datasets and the investigators were not involved in the clinical outcome evaluation. |

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

Methods

n/a

 \boxtimes

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Involved in the study

ChIP-seq
Flow cytometry
MRI-based neuroimaging

Materials & experimental systems

| n/a | Involved in the study |
|-------------|-------------------------------|
| \boxtimes | Antibodies |
| \boxtimes | Eukaryotic cell lines |
| \boxtimes | Palaeontology and archaeology |
| \boxtimes | Animals and other organisms |
| | Human research participants |
| \boxtimes | Clinical data |
| \boxtimes | Dual use research of concern |

Human research participants

| Policy information about <u>studie</u> | s involving human research participants |
|--|---|
| Population characteristics | Participants included adults who had incidental brain lesions. These data include 129 smokers with brain lesions (mean age 56 years, 51 females, 78 males), 168 participants from the Vietnam head injury dataset (mean age at testing 58 years, all males). Demographical information for each dataset are provided as supplementary material. |
| Recruitment | We included all subjects from relevant datasets that we were able to access. Each dataset had different recruitment parameters depending on the study type. |
| Ethics oversight | The study was approved by the local institutional review boards (Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center #2018P000128 and Brigham and Women's hospital #2020P002987) and all subjects have provided written informed consent as part of the original study they were enrolled in. |

Note that full information on the approval of the study protocol must also be provided in the manuscript.

Magnetic resonance imaging

Experimental design

Design type

Structural lesion locations defined on brain MRI and/or head CT combined with normative resting-state fMRI

| Design specifications | Structural MRI or CT scans were used to localize lesions and/or stimulation sites. Resting-state fMRI data from a large connectome database (healthy volunteers n=1000, smokers n=126) were used to estimate connectivity of each site. | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Behavioral performance measure | The main behavioral measure: smoking behavior after occurrence of the brain lesion (remission, quit smoking, did not quit smoking). Remission was defined as in Naqvi et al. (Science 2007). Other behavioral are described in the manuscript. | | | | | |
| Acquisition | | | | | | |
| Imaging type(s) | Resting-state fMRI (n=1000 healthy controls, n=126 active daily smokers) and individualized structural lesion locations based on structural MRI or CT (n=315) | | | | | |
| Field strength | 3T | | | | | |
| Sequence & imaging parameters | Normative (n=1000) resting-state fMRI acquisitionn parameters: repetition time (TR) = 3,000 ms, echo time (TE) = 30 ms, flip angle (FA) = 85°, $3 \times 3 \times 3$ -mm voxels, field of view (FOV) = 216, and 47 axial slices collected with interleaved acquisition and no gap between slices. Each functional run lasted 6.2 min (124 time points). One or two runs were acquired per subject (average of 1.7 runs). Confirmatory dataset of active daily smokers (n=126): please see details in supplementary materials page 4. | | | | | |
| Area of acquisition | Whole brain | | | | | |
| Diffusion MRI 📃 Used | Not used | | | | | |
| Preprocessing | | | | | | |
| Preprocessing software | FreeSurfer + in-house preprocessing scripts, as in the GSP1000 dataset (details in Yeo et al, J Neurophysiol 2011) | | | | | |
| Normalization | Nonlinear volume-based registration | | | | | |
| Normalization template | MNI ICBM152 | | | | | |
| Noise and artifact removal | Low-pass temporal filtering, head-motion regression, global signal regression, and ventricular and white matter signal regression | | | | | |
| Volume censoring | Motion regression | | | | | |
| Statistical modeling & infere | nce | | | | | |
| Model type and settings | Lesion network mapping with voxel-wise partial least squares regression model (details described in manuscript). | | | | | |
| Effect(s) tested | The main effect tested was the difference in lesion location connectivity between smokers who remitted vs. who did not quit smoking, controlling for dataset. Secondary analyses included correlations between lesion location connectivity and continous behavioral data, as described in the manuscript in detail. | | | | | |
| Specify type of analysis: 🛛 🕅 W | nole brain ROI-based Both | | | | | |
| Statistic type for inference (See <u>Eklund et al. 2016</u>) | Treshold-free cluster enhancement (TFCE) | | | | | |
| Correction | FWE | | | | | |
| Models & analysis | | | | | | |
| n/a Involved in the study A Functional and/or effective connectivity A Graph analysis A Multivariate modeling or predictive analysis | | | | | | |

Functional and/or effective connectivity

Mean Pearson correlation across the normative dataset (n=1000) for each lesion location.