

The Danger of the Kampung and the Promise of Work: Examining Drug Recovery and its Limits in Aceh, Indonesia

Louis Plottel

University of Toronto

Research Questions

Methamphetamine

- ◊ Why such high rates of methamphetamine consumption in Indonesia, and Southeast Asia as a whole?
- ◊ What about Indonesia's political economy pushes users towards this drug?

Recovery

- ◊ What recovery ideologies are recovery centres working with?
- ◊ How do these ideologies differ from patient experiences in recovery?

Policy

- ◊ What tools and interventions can we develop to improve recovery outcomes?

Methods

- ◆ Research from April 2024 – Sept 2025
- ◆ Three target participant groups
 - ◆ Local office of Badan Narkotika Nasional (BNN)
 - ◆ Private Recovery Centre
 - ◆ Active users not seeking treatment
- ◆ Qualitative methods (ethnography)
 - ◆ Participant Observation
 - ◆ Semi-structured interviews
 - ◆ Review grey-literature (media reports, publications etc.)

Aceh



Population: 5.5 million
One of the highest rates of drug consumption in Indonesia

Crisis



Two recent crises: civil conflict (1976-2005), and tsunami (2004)



Indonesian soldiers on patrol in Aceh Province in May 2003. *AP Photo / Achmad Ibrahim*

Recovery

- Ongoing effects of trauma
- Persistent underdevelopment
- Stagnant economy



- Poorest province in Sumatra
- Unemployment rate above national average
- Drug consumption above national average



First drug recovery centre opens post-tsunami

Drug Recovery System in Aceh

- ◆ One publicly-funded rehab
 - ◆ Part of provincial mental health hospital (*rumah sakit jiwa*)
 - ◆ Psycho-social recovery modality
 - ◆ Funded through public insurance (BPJS)
 - ◆ Recovery ideology: medical
- ◆ Privately funded rehab centres
 - ◆ Less than a dozen throughout the province
 - ◆ Concentrated in Banda Aceh
 - ◆ Mixed recovery modality (Narcotics Anonymous, psycho-social and religious)
 - ◆ Funded by patient fees (2-3 million rupiah per month)
 - ◆ Recovery ideology: addiction as social and psychological disorder
- ◆ WH run rehab centres
 - ◆ 8 centres throughout Aceh
 - ◆ Concentrated in North Aceh and surrounding regencies
 - ◆ Religious recovery modality
 - ◆ Funded by WH and patient in-kind donations
 - ◆ Recovery ideology: addiction as moral issue
- ◆ “Mixed Recovery Landscape”
 - ◆ Provides different recovery options
 - ◆ However, not universally accessible
 - ◆ Drug policy confusion on a provincial level

Patient Experiences

◆ Nyak

- ◆ Grew up in a small town near the coast
- ◆ Started smuggling drugs at 14
- ◆ Started using at 16
- ◆ Afraid of going home after rehab, suspects he will start using again

◆ The “danger of the *kampung*”

- ◆ Critique of rural economic conditions in Aceh

◆ *Merantau* as a path to recovery

◆ Said

- ◆ Well off family
- ◆ Graduated with an undergraduate degree in electrical engineering
- ◆ Started for fun with others (*bergaul*), until Said started using alone

- ◆ Worries about being a lazy person (*pemalas*)

◆ Work-related shame led Said to drugs

◆ The promise of a job as a path to recovery

Conclusions

- ◆ Patient experiences reveal the strengths and weaknesses of different recovery paradigms
 - ◆ Patients emphasize economic conditions and life prospects
 - ◆ Recovery centres emphasize psychological and religious training
- ◆ Addictions take shape in particular economic and political contexts
- ◆ Recovery paradigms must take these contexts into account and remain adaptable